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#### Abstract

The title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, was synthesized and the crystal structure solved using X-ray diffraction techniques. The structure has half a molecule in the asymmetric unit and $Z=2$. The molecule possesses a centre of inversion. The phenyl and benzyl rings lie nearly perpendicular to each other, and the structure is stabilized by van der Waals type interactions.


## Comment

A perspective view of the title molecule, (I), showing the atom-numbering scheme is presented in Fig. 1 (the atoms which are not numbered are symmetry related to the numbered atoms). In the phenyl ring (Cl-C6) the average bond length of 1.390 A agrees with average values reported in the literature (Domenicano, Vaciago \& Coulsun, 1975). The N atom, N19, of the piperazine ring is $s p^{3}$ hybridized (Perales, Cano \& Garcia-Blanco, 1977).

(I)

The torsion angles $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 18-\mathrm{N} 19\left[-46.4(3)^{\circ}\right]$ and $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 18-\mathrm{N} 19\left[136.0(3)^{\circ}\right]$ define the position of the piperazine ring in the molecule. The substituent at N19 is in an equatorial position (Allinger, Carpenter \& Karkowski, 1965). There is half a molecule in the asymmetric unit and two molecules in the unit cell. One half of the molecule is related to the other
$\dagger$ DCB contribution No. 867.
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by a centre of inversion. Atoms C20 and C21 of the molecule are connected to C 21 and C20 of the second half by $1.512 \AA$ and vice versa.


Fig. 1. Perspective view of the molecule with atom-numbering scheme.
All the atoms in the phenyl ring lie in a plane with a maximum deviation of $\pm 0.006$ (3) A , for C 1 . The phenyl ring forms a dihedral angle of $85.6(1)^{\circ}$ with the benzyl ring to avoid making short contacts. The piperazine moiety makes dihedral angles of 89.9 (1) and $67.5(1)^{\circ}$ with the phenyl and benzyl rings, respectively.
The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}[1.355(4) \AA$ ] and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}[1.268$ (4) $\AA$ ] distances are comparable with reported values (Allen et al., 1987). An intramolecular short contact is observed between O 7 and N10 [2.597 (3) A]]. A stereoview of the packing of the molecules down the $a$ axis is shown in Fig. 2. Packing of the molecules is stabilized by van der Waals interactions.


Fig. 2. Stereoview of the packing of molecules down the $a$ axis.

## Experimental

Paraformaldehyde ( 2 mol ) and piperazine ( 1 mol ) were stirred in 15 ml of acetic acid for an hour. 4-Methyl-2-formylphenol ( 2 mol ) dissolved in 20 ml of acetic acid was added and stirred for 6 h . This 2:2:1 mixture was subjected to Schiff base condensation with two moles of benzylamine to yield the title compound (Hodgkin, 1984). Then the whole mixture was neutralized using saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and the compound was extracted using chloroform. The crude sample was purified by silica-gel column using $n$-hexane-chloroform ( $30: 70 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) solvent mixture as the eluent. The compound was crystallized by slow evaporation from ether/ $/ \mathrm{CHCL}_{3}$ mixture.

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
$M_{r}=560.7$
Monoclinic
$P 2_{1} / n$
$a=5.931$ (2) $\AA$
$b=20.811$ (3) $\AA$
$c=12.479(1) \AA$
$\beta=98.68(3)^{\circ}$
$V=1522.64 \AA^{3}$
$Z=2$
$D_{x}=1.223 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Data collection
Enraf-Nonius CAD-4
diffractometer
$\omega / 2 \theta$ scans
Absorption correction:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi \text { scan } \\
& T_{\min }=0.823, \quad T_{\max }= \\
& 0.976
\end{aligned}
$$

3288 measured reflections
2892 independent reflections
1698 observed reflections $[I \geq 3 \sigma(I)]$

Refinement
Refinement on $F$
$R=0.05$
$w R=0.06$
$S=1.15$
1698 reflections
266 parameters
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}(F)+0.0038 F^{2}\right]$
$\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation
$\lambda=1.5418 \AA$
Cell parameters from 25 reflections
$\theta=15-23^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.609 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=298 \mathrm{~K}$
Needle
$0.50 \times 0.42 \times 0.23 \mathrm{~mm}$
Pale yellow

$$
R_{\mathrm{int}}=0.019
$$

$$
\theta_{\text {max }}=70^{\circ}
$$

$$
h=0 \rightarrow 7
$$

$$
k=0 \rightarrow 25
$$

$$
l=-15 \rightarrow 15
$$

3 standard reflections monitored every 200 reflections intensity decay: <1.2\%

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$

| $B_{\text {eq }}=\left(8 \pi^{2} / 3\right) \Sigma_{i} \Sigma_{j} U_{i j} a_{i}^{*} a_{j}^{*} \mathbf{a}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{j}$. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x$ | $y$ | z | $B_{\text {eq }}$ |
| Cl | 0.5983 (5) | 0.1854 (1) | -0.18580 (2) | 3.85 (7) |
| C2 | 0.5001 (5) | 0.2018 (1) | -0.29210 (2) | 4.09 (8) |
| C3 | 0.6013 (5) | 0.1813 (1) | -0.37940 (2) | 4.43 (6) |
| C4 | 0.7969 (5) | 0.1448 (1) | -0.36560 (2) | 4.28 (6) |
| C5 | 0.8893 (5) | 0.1289 (1) | -0.25950 (2) | 4.03 (7) |
| C6 | 0.7950 (5) | 0.1476 (1) | -0.17010(2) | 3.73 (7) |
| 07 | 0.5074 (4) | 0.2071 (1) | -0.09930 (1) | 5.14 (5) |
| C8 | 0.9113 (7) | 0.1237 (2) | -0.45970 (1) | 5.84 (11) |
| C9 | 0.2943 (5) | 0.2419 (1) | -0.31250 (1) | 4.78 (9) |
| N10 | 0.2024 (4) | 0.2657 (1) | -0.23610 (2) | 5.15 (9) |
| C11 | -0.0086 (5) | 0.3034 (1) | -0.26520 (3) | 6.08 (11) |
| C12 | 0.0175 (5) | 0.3713 (1) | -0.22590 (2) | 4.04 (8) |
| C13 | -0.1383 (6) | 0.3994 (1) | -0.16770 (3) | 5.77 (11) |
| C14 | -0.1195 (7) | 0.4631 (2) | -0.13770 (3) | 7.01 (12) |
| C15 | 0.0552 (8) | 0.4994 (2) | -0.16410 (3) | 6.80 (11) |
| C16 | 0.2120 (6) | 0.4726 (1) | -0.22010 (3) | 5.47 (9) |
| C17 | 0.1920 (5) | 0.4093 (1) | -0.25060 (2) | 4.27 (8) |
| C18 | 0.9120 (5) | 0.1292 (1) | -0.05750 (2) | 4.32 (7) |
| N19 | 0.9788 (3) | 0.0619 (1) | -0.05140 (1) | 3.35 (5) |
| C20 | 0.7810 (4) | 0.0209 (1) | -0.04710 (2) | 3.66 (5) |
| C21 | 1.1511 (4) | 0.0492 (1) | 0.04270 (2) | 3.54 (4) |

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters $\left(\AA^{\circ},^{\circ}\right)$

| $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $1.408(3)$ | $\mathrm{N} 10-\mathrm{Cl1}$ | $1.475(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $1.399(4)$ | $\mathrm{Cl1}-\mathrm{Cl2}$ | $1.496(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{O} 7$ | $1.355(4)$ | $\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $1.388(5)$ |


| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $1.388(4)$ | $\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 17$ | $1.378(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 9$ | $1.469(4)$ | $\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{C} 14$ | $1.377(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $1.376(4)$ | $\mathrm{C} 14-\mathrm{C} 15$ | $1.362(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $1.394(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{C} 16$ | $1.364(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 8$ | $1.508(4)$ | $\mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{C} 17$ | $1.371(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $1.376(4)$ | $\mathrm{C} 18-\mathrm{N} 19$ | $1.454(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 18$ | $1.518(3)$ | $\mathrm{N} 19-\mathrm{C} 20$ | $1.458(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{N} 10$ | $1.268(4)$ | $\mathrm{N} 19-\mathrm{C} 21$ | $1.460(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{O} 7$ | $120.1(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{N} 10-\mathrm{C} 11$ | $117.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{O} 7$ | $120.8(2)$ | $\mathrm{N} 10-\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 12$ | $112.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $119.1(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 17$ | $120.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 9$ | $121.1(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 13$ | $121.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $119.9(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 17$ | $117.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 9$ | $119.0(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{C} 14$ | $121.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $121.9(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{C} 14-\mathrm{C} 15$ | $120.1(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 8$ | $122.3(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 14-\mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{C} 16$ | $120.0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $117.0(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{C} 17$ | $120.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 8$ | $120.8(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 17-\mathrm{C} 16$ | $121.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $123.6(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 18-\mathrm{N} 19$ | $111.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $118.5(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 18-\mathrm{N} 19-\mathrm{C} 21$ | $111.6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 18$ | $119.7(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 18-\mathrm{N} 19-\mathrm{C} 20$ | $110.6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 18$ | $121.7(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 20-\mathrm{N} 19-\mathrm{C} 21$ | $109.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{N} 10$ | $122.1(2)$ |  |  |

Refinement was performed by full-matrix least-squares methods. All H -atom parameters were refined except the phenolic H atom which could not be located from the difference Fourier map.

Data reduction: SDP (Frenz, 1978). Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1985). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976). Molecular graphics: PLUTO (Motherwell and Clegg, 1978). Software used to prepare material for publication: PARST (Nardelli, 1983).
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Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, H atom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: PT1018). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography. 5 Abbey Square. Chester CHI 2 HU . England.
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